



KERALA GAZETTE

കേരള ഗസറ്റ്

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

ആധികാരികമായി പ്രസിദ്ധപ്പെടുത്തുന്നത്

Vol. 111 വാല്യം 52	Thiruvananthapuram, Tuesday തിരുവനന്തപുരം, ചൊവ്വ	31st July 2007 2007 ജൂലൈ 31 9th Sravana 1929 1929 ശ്രാവണം 9	No. നമ്പർ	31
-----------------------	---	--	--------------	----

PART I

Notifications and Orders issued by the Government

Labour and Rehabilitation Department Labour and Rehabilitation (A)

ORDERS

(1)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 453/2007/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 17th February 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the General Manager, Kerala Laxmi Mills, Pullazhy, Thrissur Pin-680 012 and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by (1) The General Secretary, Kerala Laxmi Mill Workers Union (CITU), Reg. No. 247/67 K-131, P. O. Pullazhy, Thrissur-680 012 (2) The Joint Secretary, Kerala Laxmi Mills Labour Congress (INTUC), Reg. No. 92/63, Pullazhy P. O., Thrissur (3) Secretary, Thrissur District Textiles Masdoor Sangh (BMS) Kerala Laxmi Mills Unit, Pullazhy, Thrissur-12 (4) Secretary, Kerala Laxmi Mills Employees Union (AITUC), Pullazhy, Thrissur (5) Secretary, Kerala Laxmi Mills Mesthries Association (INTUC), 1, Pullazhy, Thrissur-12 (6) Secretary, Kerala Laxmi Mills Thozhilali Union (AITUC), Pullazhy Thrissur-12 (7) Secretary, Kerala Laxmi Mills Workers Congress (KTUC) (M), Pullazhy, Thrissur (8) Secretary, Kerala Laxmi Mills Staff Federation, P. O. Pullazhy Thrissur-12 (9) Secretary, Kerala Laxmi Mills Staff Association, P. O. Pullazhy, Thrissur-12 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order.

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the claim for permanency of the following trainees 1 to 16 viz. (1) Lekshmi-devi, (2) Jancy, K. O. (3) Sabu, A. S. (4) Sujatha, K. K. (5) Remani, P. P. (6) Rosy Anthony, (7) Valsala, M. V., (8) K. Latha, (9) K. G. Praneesh, (10) Vinola, M., (11) Prince, M. K., (12) P. D. Dhaneesh, (13) V. R. Unnikrishnan, (14) Saneesh, K. B. (15) Hithu, A. R. and (16) K. G. Rajithan appointed under dying-in-harness in the Kerala Laxmi Mills, Pullazhy, Thrissur is justifiable? If not, what relief they are entitled to get?

(2)

G.O.(Rt) No. 1351/2007/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 17th May 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Management of Madakkal Hardwares, Engapuzha, Kozhikode and workman of the above referred establishment Sri Varghese David, Kokkappillil House, Theyyappara P.O., Kodanchery, Kozhikode in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication.

ANNEXURE

Whether the claim for permanency of the following trainees 1 to 16 viz. (1) Lekshmi-devi, (2) Jancy, K. O. (3) Sabu, A. S. (4) Sujatha, K. K. (5) Remani, P. P. (6) Rosy Anthony, (7) Valsala, M. V., (8) K. Latha, (9) K. C. Pranesh, (10) Vimala, M., (11) Prince, M. K., (12) P. D. Dhaneesh, (13) V. R. Unnikrishnan, (14) Saneesh, K. B. (15) Hithu, A. R. and (16) K. G. Rajithan appointed under dying-in-harness in the Kerala Laxmi Mills, Pullazhy, Thrissur is justifiable? If not, what relief they are entitled to get?

(2)

G.O.(Rt) No. 1361/2007/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 17th May 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Management of Madakkal Hardwares, Engapuzha, Kozhikode and workman of the above referred establishment Sri Varghese David, Kakkappillil House, Theyyappara P.O., Kodanchery, Kozhikode in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kozhikode. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether denial of employment to Varghese David by the employer to Madakkal Hardwares, Engapuzha, Kozhikode is justifiable? If not, what relief he is entitled to?

(3)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1362/2007/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 17th May 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the President, Kanichiparutha Ksheerolpadaka Sahakarana Sangham No. P. 96 (D) Apcos, Koranchira P. O., Palakkad and the worker of the above referred establishment Smt. E. V. Anice, w/o K. S. George, Karakkadayil House, Koranchira P. O., Palakkad in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government

hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the termination of Service of Smt. E. V. Anice from Kanichiparutha Ksheerolpadaka Sahakarana Sangham No. P. 96 (D) Apcos, P. O. Koranchira, Palakkad justifiable? If not, what are the relief she is entitled to?

(4)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1421/2007/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 21st May 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an Industrial dispute exists between Sri K. Appukkuttan Pillai, Proprietor, Arya Cashew Company, Chemmakkad P. O., Perinad, Kollam, and the worker of the above referred establishment Smt. N. Krishnakumari, R. K. Nivas, Koyippally Kadavoor, Perinad P.O., Kollam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Smt. N. Krishnakumari, Grading Worker by the Management of Arya Cashew Company, Chemmakkad, Kollam is justifiable? If not, what relief she is entitled to?

(5)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1422/2007/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 21st May 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the President, Pottankad Service Co-operative Bank Ltd. K-165, Pottankad P.O., Pin-685 569, Idukki District and the worker of the above referred establishment Sri Joseph, N. P., s/o. Paily, Nadamkuzhy, Pottankad P.O., Pin-685 569, Idukki District in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the dismissal of Sri Joseph, N. P., Chief Accountant from service by the Management of Pottankad Service Co-operative Bank Ltd. No. K-165 is justifiable? If not, what are the reliefs he is entitled to?

(6)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1423/2007/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 21st May 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Proprietor, Kerala Nut Food Company, P.B. No. 80, Parameswaram Nagar, Kollam and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Kerala State Kasuvandy Vyavasaya Masdoor Sangh (B.M.S.) Thamaraikkulam, Kollam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Kollam. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

"Whether the denial of employment to Sri K. Gokulan, Watcher/Clerk by the Management of Kerala Nut Food Company, Kollam is justifiable? If not, what relief the worker is entitled to?"

(7)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1427/2007/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 21st May 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the President, Eruthenpathy Ksheerolpadaka Sahakarana Sangham Ltd. No. 565 (D), Apcos, Eruthenpathy P. O., Palakkad and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri K. Haridas Babu s/o Kesavan, Paramedu House, Eruthenpathy P. O., Palakkad in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the dismissal of Sri Haridas Babu, Secretary, Eruthenpathy Ksheerolpadaka Sahakarana Sangham, Eruthenpathy by the management is justifiable? If not, what relief he is entitled to?

(8)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1428/2007/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 21st May 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between The General Manager, Calicut Co-operative Urban Bank Ltd., Mankavu Branch, Kozhikode and the workmen of the above referred establishment Sri M. K. Divakaran, Mullackal House, Govindapuram P. O., Kozhikode in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kozhikode. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the dismissal of Sri M.K. Divakaran, Collection Agent, Calicut Co-operative Urban Bank by the management is justifiable? If not, what relief he is entitled to?

(9)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1429/2007/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 21st May 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Sri K. P. Nataraja Muthaliyar, Owner, Kalaivani Theatre, Anamari, Manchirakalam, Kollengode and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri Krishnan s/o Kandan, Pallam, Muthalamada in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri Krishnan s/o Kandan, Gate Keeper, Kalaivani Theatre, Kollengode by the Management is justifiable? If not, what relief he is entitled to?

(10)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1430/2007/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 21st May 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between (1) The General Manager, Empee Distilleries, Kanjikode, (2) The Contractor, Valuevenchers, Kalvakulam, Palakkad and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the Secretary, Empee Distilleries Contract Labours Union, C. I. T. U., Kanjikode Palakkad in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to his order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri Sasidharan, Ratheesh, Jayaprakash and Pramod, contract workers by the contractor as well as the management of Empee Distilleries, Kanjikode is justifiable? If not, what relief they are entitled to?

(11)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1432/2007/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram 21st May 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between (1) The Secretary, Managing Committee, M.E.S. ITC, Mundoor, Palakkad (2) The Principal, M.E.S. ITC, Mundoor, Palakkad, and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri K. Abdul Khader s/o Kunchu Peeru Ravuthavar, Jameela Manzil, Mundoor P. O., Palakkad in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

"Whether the denial of employment to Sri K. Abdul Khader, Peon, M.E.S. ITC, Mundoor, Palakkad by the management is justifiable? If not, what relief he is entitled to?"

(12)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 1434/2007/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram 21st May 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between The Managing Director, Malabar Cements Ltd., Walayar, Palakkad and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Malabar Cements Employees Association (INTUC), Malabar Cements Ltd., Walayar, Palakkad in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

"Whether the workers viz. S/Sri K. Anandakrishnan, Code No. 173, 12/206, Pottakkal House, New Colony, K.N. Puram, Kanjikode, Palakkad, acting Heavy Equipment Operator from 1990, S. C. Shanmugham, Code No. 756, 42/3, A-Type/Quarters MCL Township, Walayar, Palakkad acting MATE(M/NES) from 1993, M. Gabriel, Code No. 933, s/o Muthuswamy, Chandrapuram, Walayar, Palakkad, acting Packerman from 1994, V. Balakrishnan Nair, Code No. 6, Kizhakkepalathile Veedu, Chempazhanthi P. O., Thiruvananthapuram, acting Typist - Clerk from 1978, are entitled for permanency with retrospective effect from the date of promotion as 'acting' in the respective posts? If so what relief they are entitled to?"

(13)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1525/2007/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 24th May 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between The Managing Director, Foster Marketing Company (Pvt.) Ltd., Athany, P. O. Peringandoor and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by Sri Jose Chalissery, Union President, Foster Employees Union, P. O. Peringandoor, Thrissur, Pin-680 581 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

"Whether the employees of Foster Marketing Company (P) Ltd., Peringandoor are eligible for 20% bonus for the year 2003-04 as demanded by the union? If not what is the rate of bonus they are entitled to get? Whether the employees of Foster Marketing Company (P) Ltd., are eligible for 5% exgratia payment for the year 2003-04 as demanded by the union? If not what is the quantum of exgratia they are entitled to?"

(14)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 1526/2007/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 24th May 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Managing Director, Foster Marketing Company (Pvt.) Ltd., Athany, P. O. Peringandoor and the workman of the above referred establishment represented by Sri Jose Chalissery, Union President, Foster Employees Union, P. O. Peringandoor, Thrissur, Pin-680 581 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

- I "Whether the employees of Foster Marketing Company (P) Ltd., Peringandoor are eligible for 20% bonus for the year 2002-03 as demanded by the union? If not what is the rate of bonus they are entitled to get?"
- II Whether the employees of Foster Marketing Company (P) Ltd., are eligible for one month's wages as exgratia payment for the year 2002-03 as demanded by the Union? If not what amount they are entitled to get as exgratia payment?
- III Whether the employees are eligible for festival allowance for the year 2002-03 as demanded by the Union?

(15)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1654/2007/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 31st May 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exist between (1) Sri K. P. Raveendran, s/o Narayanan, Swamy Tyre Works, Vidya Nagar P.O., Kasaragode, (2) Sri K.P. Anil Kumar, s/o Narayanan, Swamy Tyre and cold process, Vidya Nagar P.O., Kasaragode and the workman of the above referred establishment,

represented by the Secretary, Vanijya Sramik Sangham (BMS), Bank Road, Kasaragode in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kannur. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri Anil Kumar, workmen by the management of Swami Tyre works is justifiable? If not what relief he is entitled to?

(16)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1663/2007/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 1st June 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Managing Director, Autocast Limited, S. N. Puram P. O., Cherthala-688 582, Alappuzha and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the Secretary, All Kerala Silk Employees Union (INTUC), Autocast Unit, S. N. Puram P. O., Cherthala-688 582, Alappuzha in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Alappuzha. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

"Whether the compliant of anomaly in the basic wages of Sri Vincent Fernandez, Worker, Autocast Ltd., S. N. Puram P. O., Cherthala is justifiable? If not, what relief he is entitled to?"

(17)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 1770/2007/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 7th June 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between (1) Sri T. R. Rajesh Kumar, Tholanickal House, Vellappadu P. O., Kottayam (2) Sri K. P. Ramachandran, Tholanickal House, Puthenpallikkunnel, Pala and the workmen of the above referred establishment Sri P. K. Vijayan,

Edathumkunnel House, Kaduvamoozhilil, Erattupettah, Kottayam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

- (1) "Whether the denial of employment to Sri P. K. Vijayan, worker by the management of Rajesh Auto Service Center and Workshop is justifiable or not?"
- (2) If not, what relief the workman is entitled to?"

(18)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1771/2007/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 7th June 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Sri M. K. Gopalan, Priya Bakery, Nambrathukara, Keezhariyoor, Koyilandy and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by T. Baburaj, President, General Workers Union, Jayasree Buildings, 18/2/5, R. S. Road, Koyilandy in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kozhikode. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri K. Biju, by the employer of Priya Bakery, Nambrathukara, Keezhariyoor, Koyilandy is justifiable? If not, what relief he is entitled to?

(19)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1772/2007/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 7th June 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Sri E. Damodharan, Manager, Venrite Sannidhan, Pookode P. O., Pathayakunnu, Kannur and the workman, of the above referred establishment represented by the Secretary, Kannur District Motor Transport and Engineering Metal Thozhialil Union (May Dina

Thozhialil Kendram) Super Bazar Building, Kannur-670 001 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kannur. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri T. Rajeesh, Cleaner by the Management KL-13F-9459 Bus is justifiable? If not, what relief he is entitled to?

(20)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1776/2007/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 7th June 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exist between Sri K. P. Hassan Ravuthar, H. A. S. Saw Mill, Mannathur P. O., Muvattupuzha and the workmen of the above referred establishment Sri P. P. Joy, Uliyanal, East Marady P. O., Muvattupuzha in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

"Whether the denial of employment of Sri P. P. Joy, Uliyanal House, East Marady P. O., Muvattupuzha by the management of H. A. S. Saw Mill, Mannathur P. O., Muvattupuzha is justifiable? If not, what relief he is entitled to?"

(21)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1841/2007/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 12th June 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exist between the President, Marutharoad Ksheera Vyavasaya Sahakarana Sangham Pal Society Ltd. No. P-529, Marutharoad, Palakkad and the workmen of the above referred establishment Sri P. M. Mani s/o Manickan, Poolakkadu Veedu, Marutharoad, Palakkad in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

“Whether the denial of employment to Sri P.M. Mani, Milk Vendor by the management of Marutharoad Ksheera Vyavasaya Sahakaran Sangham Pal Society is justifiable? If not, what relief he is entitled to?”

(22)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 1878/2007/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 16th June 2007.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between The Managing Director, Kovalam Hotels Ltd., K o v a l a m, Thiruvananthapuram and the workmen of the above referred establishment Sri V. Jayakumar, Paramoola

Veedu, Puravoorkonam, Karakulam, Nedumangadu in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the termination from service of Sri V. Jayakumar, Telephone Operator, by the Management, Kovalam Hotels Limited, Kovalam, Thiruvananthapuram is justifiable? If not, what reliefs he is entitled to ?

By order of the Governor,
SUSY EAPEN,
Under Secretary to Government.